Appendix B – Responders original correspondence

Date received	Comments
10.12.19	We object to the proposals for the following reasons:
email	- This is not a sustainable solution to the problem that we have raised.
Resident	You have only proposed waiting restrictions on a small area of
	highway around Norton Lane/Little Norton Lane. All that the proposals
	will do is drive approximately 30-40 cars a day further into the
	residential area where we are already struggling with access to and
	around our properties.
	-The roads are extremely narrow and already very busy - there is a
	much larger problem here then your plans acknowledge. We have 4
	large sites using our residential streets as a car park, all week and at
	the weekend for long hours of the day. Within walking distance of Little
	Norton Lane there is the extended Graves Leisure Centre, St James
	Retail Park, JCT and Meadowhead School. At present it is far to easy
	and convenient for staff, shoppers and parents of students to use our
	roads as a car park. We struggle every day with this - deliveries,
	visitors to our home and sometimes even access onto our drive as
	well as the fact that emergency services would really struggle at peak
	times.
	- We requested a full solution to this issue over 18 months ago and
	you have failed to engage with residents since then. I appreciate this
	is a step to try to mitigate some of the potential danger on the
	junctions but it does not solve the wider issues and if taken as a single
	action will make matters worse.
	- You need to meet and consult with residents as promised to solve
	this - parking permits and wider restrictions were all discussed - if you
	can police these proposed restrictions why not act further?
	- I do not believe that the current level of traffic is , as the council
	claim, having no affect on air quality around this area. We basically
	live on a large car park next to an already extremely busy duel
	carriageway which now often has idling traffic on both sides, back to
	both roundabouts due to cars trying to get into the retail park (guess
	where they park when they cant!)
	I look forward to your response and have included once again photos
	that highlight the issue.
	Kind regards
	Tana regards
11.12.19	I object to your proposals. You are only moving the parking nearer our
resident	house, not resolving the issue. Maybe you should have thought about
	this before approving the previous scheme!!!!!
12.12.19	A copy of the letter is attached as Appendix B plus
email	
employee of	
nearby	
business	